



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/799,412

03/12/2004

Amandeep Jawa

101-P272D1/P3061USD1

8131

67521 7590 06/01/2009
TECHNOLOGY & INNOVATION LAW GROUP, PC
ATTN: 101
19200 STEVENS CREEK BLVD., SUITE 240
CUPERTINO, CA 95014

EXAMINER

LE, MIRANDA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2159

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/01/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/799,412	Applicant(s) JAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner MIRANDA LE	Art Unit 2159	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MIRANDA LE, Examiner. (3) ____.

(2) Douglass Thomas, Reg. No. 32,947. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 14 May 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 32.

Identification of prior art discussed: Drosset.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the claimed limitations in view of prior art. The Examiner explained further in details that although Drosset, in part, discloses streaming media, Drosset also read on the claimed limitations as detailed in col. 7, lines 1-12, lines 20-30 and as seen in Figs. 6, 7, 12, 15, 18. As a result, Applicant agreed to amend the claim to better clarify the differences between the prior art and the claimed invention.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Miranda Le/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2159	
---	--